Monitoring sensitivity to **CAA**, **Qil** and **Fluazinam** among populations of *Phytophtora infestans* collected from French potato producing areas in 2016 & 2018: New methodology and First results

Denis GAUCHER, Sébastien VACHER, Hervé STEVA

ARVALIS

Institut du végétal d.gaucher@arvalis.fr CONIphy

CJH Scientific Expertise

## **AIMS OF THE STUDY**

- Characterize the sensitivity of natural **populations** of late blight to several modes of action :
  - CAA : dimethomorph, mandipropamid...
  - Qil : cyazofamid, amisulbrom
  - Fluazinam
- Identify the possible presence of resistant phenotypes in commercial fields
- Connect these phenomena with practices of treatment or regional specificities

### **THE SAMPLES : OBJECTIVES**

### Number and Origin

- Sampling in a minimum of 8 to 10 fields for every area of Potato production = to obtain a representativeness of the situation related to the cultural practices
- Choice of the fields for sampling:
  - Random
  - Presence of late blight
  - Knowledge of the program of fungicide treatment realized during the season
  - Representative of the practices recommended in the geographical zone
- Expected : 60 fields per year

### Transplanting on untreated potato leaves: Multiplication and homogenization of the inoculum

From infected potato leaves, technicians have:

- Cut by discs from zones with young and sporulating symptoms
- Placed the discs in Petri dishes with filter paper
- Washed the discs in order to eliminate the old sporulations and the residues of fungicide (surface products)
- Dried then incubated the discs during 12 hours in 18°C

The next day, young sporulations are collected with a cotton tip and inoculated on fresh untreated leaves.

All the leaves are incubated during 1 week in 18°c and in the light (12/12h of day/night).



## Tests on Potato leaf discs: Preparation of the sporangial suspension

At the end of 7 days of incubation, a suspension of spores is prepared and titled to approximately 10<sup>5</sup> UFC/mL

Placed at 4°C during 2 hours in order to promote the released of the sporocysts





## Tests on Potato leaf discs: Products and mode of application

Focus on 3 modes of action used on Potato late blight

- CAA : Carboxylic Acyl Amides
- Qil : Quinones Inside Inhibitors
- Dinitro-Anilines : fluazinam

For each mode of action, one reference active ingredient and several concentrations :

- CAA : dimethomorph 0,
- Qil : cyazofamid

- 0,1 0,3 3 10 mg/L
- 0,01 0,1 1 10 mg/L (only 1 mg/L in 2018)
- Dinitro-Anilines : fluazinam 0,1 1 10 30 mg/L

0,1 - 1 - 10 - 30 mg/L

## **Tests on Potato leaf discs :** Inoculation and incubation

Mixing the sporocyst suspension with fungicide solution (or water) Deposit of a drop of 10 microliters on each disc

Drops are left during the night in 18°C and are dried the next day

All the dishes are put in climatic chamber in 18°C and in the light of day during 7 days (12h/12h)

Analysis on a very large number of sporocysts for each sample (field) : deposit of 10 droplets of 10 microliters with suspension 100.000 so study of **10 000 sporocysts** !

## **Tests on Potato leaf discs:** Notation of the symptoms : Efficacy

Individual observation of each disc, evaluation of the symptoms

Scale of notation

- 0 No necrotic area
- 1-25% of necrotic area
- 2-50% of necrotic area
- 3-75% of necrotic area
- 4 100% of necrotic are



Calculation of efficacy for each concentration of fungicide compared to the control (water)

## Tests on Potato leaf discs: Results

#### Presentation of the results : Examples 2016 and 2018

Aree	Dep	t.	ation	Sompling data	Contro	Diméthomorphe (CAA)						Cyazofamide (Qil)				Control		Fluazinam				
Area				sampling date		J,1	0,3	3	10		CONTROL	),01	0,1	1	10	MIC	CONTION	0,1	1	10	30	MIC
Champagn	e <sup>51</sup>	Livry	Louvercy	05/07/2016	4	30	80	100	100	0,3-3	3,2	50	100	100	100	0,01-0,1	4	40	70	30	90	>30
Champagn	e <sup>51</sup>	<sup>51</sup> Montépreux		04/07/2016	1,6	75	100	100	100	0,1-0,	3 1,6	100	100	100	100	<0,01	1,2	33	67	100	100	1-10
Champagn	ampagne <sup>51</sup>		very-sur-Cool	e 04/07/2016	2,4	100	100	100	100	<0,1	2	100	100	100	100	<0,01	2	83	100	100	100	0,1-1
Champagn	e <sup>51</sup>	Breu	very-sur-Bole	11/07/2016	2,8	43	86	100	100	0,3-3	3,2	63	100	100	100	0,01-0,1	3,2	12	0	37	87	>30
Area	Area		Location	Sampling da	Sampling date Contro			Diméthomorphe (CAA)				Co	ontrol Cyazofamide			Control		Fluaz	zinam		MIC	
							0.1	0.3	3	30	mg/l			1			0.1	1	10	30	m	g/I
Champa	gne	10	Maizières	18/07/201	8 2	.4	0	67	100	100	0,3-3		1.6	10	00	2.8	100	100	100	100	<0	),1
Champa	gne	51	Auve	10/09/201	8	4	0	100	100	100	0,1-0,	3	4	10	)0	4	20	0	50	60	>	30
Champa	gne	51	Auve	10/09/201	8	4	50	100	100	100	0,1-0,	3	4	10	00	4	10	0	50	80	>:	30
Champa	gne	51	Valmy	25/09/201	8	4	0	70	100	100	0,3-3		4	10	00	4	0	0	30	20	>	30

- Average rate of disease on the control (scale from 0 to 4)
- Efficacy of each rate of fungicide
- Evaluation of MIC (Minimal Inhibitory Concentration)
- Distribution of the populations (samples) according to the MIC values18

### **Results : Sensitivity of the populations to CAA**

Distribution of the populations according to MIC

France – 2016 – 34 populations

Discriminatory rate of 3 mg/L

Normal situation

One population less sensitive ?

Strains isolated have a very low fitness !



### **Results : Sensitivity of the populations to CAA**

Distribution of the populations according to MIC

France – 2018 – 13 populations



MIC values for dimethomorph (mg/L)

### **Results : Sensitivity of the populations to Qil**

*Distribution of the populations according to MIC* France – 2016 – 34 populations

Discriminatory rate of 1 mg/L

#### **Normal situation**

No detection of specific resistance to Qil or non specific resistance AOX



### **Results : Sensitivity of the populations to Qil**

### Distribution of the populations according to MIC

#### France – 2018 – 13 populations

Discriminatory rate of 1 mg/L

**Normal situation** 

No detection of specific resistance to Qil or non specific resistance AOX



### **Results : Sensitivity of the populations to** fluazinam Distribution of the populations according to MIC

France – 2016 – 34 populations



MIC values for fluazinam (mg/L)

10 mg/L

**Abnormal situation** 

6 to 7 populations with resistant phenotypes

Isolation of many resistant strains with RF >100 and good fitness

### **Results : Sensitivity of the populations to fluazinam** *Distribution of the populations according to MIC*

France – 2018 – 13 populations

Discriminatory rate of 10 mg/L

**Abnormal situation** 

5 to 6 populations with resistant phenotypes

Genotypes in the fields: mostly 37\_A2 but not only (one 13\_A2).



MIC values for fluazinam (mg/L)

### **CONCLUSIONS**:

### Preliminary results on French resistance status

Synthesis on **47 populations**: low number -> Caution in the interpretation of the results and conclusions

### • CAA

- Normal situation except three populations non controlled by 3 mg/L
- Low fitness for these less sensitive strains in 2016.

### • Qil

- All the populations controlled by 1 mg/L
- Normal situation

### **CONCLUSIONS**:

### Preliminary results on French resistance status

Synthesis on **47 populations**: low number -> Caution in the interpretation of the results and conclusions

### • Fluazinam

- Detection of 13 populations non controlled by 10 mg/L including 11 populations non controlled by 30 mg/L.
- Frequency seems to be increasing.
- Validation of this character of resistance (monosporangial strains)
- In 2018: mostly 37\_A2 genotype but not only (in fields)
- Resistance widespread (in all the Potato growing areas)
- Watch this mode of action!

# THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION !

