SESSION 1 LATE BLIGHT & EARLY BLIGHT

K1 Potato health globally : current status and upcoming challenges Didier Andrivon (INRAe – IGEPP, France)

O2 Influence of weather conditions and production methods on the first occurrence of *Phytophthora infestans* and *Alternaria sp.* in Austria Vitore Shala-Mayrhofer (Austrian Chamber of Agriculture, Austria)

O3 Diversity analyses of key genes involved in the interaction between potato and *Phytophthora infestans*

Jadwiga Śliwka (IHAR-PIB, Poland)

P1 Diversity analysis of *Rpi-ber1* and *Rpi-vnt1* genes determining broadspectrum resistance to *Phytophthora infestans* Paluchowska Paulina (IHAR-PIB, Poland)

P2 Aggressiveness test of *Phytophthora infestans* isolates with different effector alleles Ludwiczewska Mirella (IHAR-PIB, Poland)

P4 The *R2* gene is still efficient in bringing resistance to some *P. infestans* strains Sylvie Marhadour (inov3PT/INRAE - IGEPP, France)

P6 Susceptibility to potato late blight (*Phytophthora infestans*) of *Solanum tuberosum* Chilotanum group, on detach leaf assay and field conditions Ivette Acuña (INIA, Chile)

INRA

Potato health globally: current status and upcoming challenges

Didier Andrivon

EAPR Pathology and Pests Section meeting – Arras, 4-6 September 2023

Potato - globally

Potato grown worldwide

- Critical for food security, mainly in Americas and Asia
- Large diversity of ecozones and production systems
- Shifting major production areas



Source: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations OurWorldInData.org/agricultural-production • CC BY

Multiple services provided

- Provisioning
- Regulating
- Cultural and social





INRAO

EAPR Pathology and Pests Section meeting –

Arras, 4-6 September 2023



Vast array of important pathogens

- Vegetative propagation
- chronic pathogens (viruses, bacteria)
- Seed borne inoculum
- Global assessment of health status and impacts missing
 - The GPHA initiative





INRA Titre de la présentation

Date / information / nom de l'auteur

The GPHA assessment – what's this?

An ambitious ISPP project

- Started 5 yrs ago
- Covering a wide diversity of plants (not just crops)
- Aiming at providing a global view of plant heath status worldwide

Global Plant Health Assessment: Systems and Ecoregions



INRAe

EAPR Pathology and Pests Section meeting – Arras, 4-6 September 2023

> The GPHA assessment – where are we now?

≻ How?

- Voluntary expert contributions
- Selection of 'keystone cases'
- For each case, a report
 - Based on existing knowledge and references
 - Under a common format

Some choices and shortcuts

- Focus on diseases
- Assessment based on ecosystem services linked to plant health
- Status over past 30 yrs and foreseeable trends

Products and deliverables

- Reports compiled and analysed
 - International workshop, Toulouse October 2021
 - ICPP Lyon , August 2023
- An e book with all 26 reports available to date > https://www.isppweb.org/about_gpha.asp
- A feature paper with the main (provisional) conclusions> *Plant Disease (First Look)* <u>https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-01-23-0166-FE</u>
- A dedicated website > https://sites.google.com/view/global-plant-healthassessment/home

INRA

> The potato GPHA– what's up, doc?

Three reports on potato

- South America
- East Asia
- Western Europe
- Missing areas still to be covered
 - Mediterranean basin
 - > North America
 - Sub-saharan Africa

Diverse pathogen profiles

- Late blight prevalent everywhere
- > Ralstonia a major threat in the tropics Asia & South America
- Tuber diseases important in Europe
 - Link to marketing and uses
- Viruses problematic in many developing countries
 - Seed systems are key



> The GPHA assessment - what's up, doc?

> Current health status : decent... but artificially so and declining



Ecosystem services rendered

INRA

- Primarily provisioning: fair to poor, often declining
- Regulating: seldom assessed, poor and declining
- Cultural: not assessed for want of suitable data

Global Plant Health Assessment and Serge Savary A global assessment of the state of plant health *Plant Disease (First Look)* - <u>https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-01-23-0166-FE</u>

EAPR Pathology and Pests Section meeting – Arras, 4-6 September 2023

> Challenges and impacts

- Climate change
- Globalisation of trade
- Changing pathogen complexes and diversity
- Diet transitions



Climate change

Farmers from the NEPG zone will globally produce 7 to 11 % less potatoes due to climate change 12-09-2022



During its last meeting prior to Potato Europe 2022, the NEPG estimates that global potato production in the NEPG zone (EU-04) will be down by 7 to 11%

Source : https://nepg.info/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/220912-NEPG-press-release-GB-final.pdf



NEPG yield trend, 2010-2021

INRA

Climate change

> Water supply

- critical for tuber production
 sometimes too low > 2022
 - > sometimes too high > 2021
- Strongly correlated to temperatures

> Impacts

- Direct effects on tuber growth and plant physiology
 - Gross yield, tuber caliber and shape
 - Shifting production zones and calendars
- Indirect effects through
 - pathogen severity and distribution (eg late blight)
 - Increasing production costs (water, but also energy and sometimes pesticides)

> Climate change

> Observing past impacts...



Hannukkala et al., 2007 *Plant Pathology* 56: 167 –176 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-3059.2006.01451.x

Estimated number of sprays/ha



INRAe

EAPR Pathology and Pests Section meeting – Arras, 4-6 September 2023

> Climate change

... and predicting future ones



Late blight risk variation between 1961/1990 and 2040-2059

Hijmans et al, 2014 Global Change Biology 20: 3621-3631, DOI: (10.1111/gcb.12587)

INRAe

EAPR Pathology and Pests Section meeting – Arras, 4-6 September 2023

Gobalisation of trade

> Large scale movement of plants... and pathogens

- ✓ New strains and pandemic distribution : *P. infestans* EU-13_A2 & EU 2 A1
- ✓ New species zebra chip







Pathogens/pest complexes and diversity

> New associations of genotypes and pathogenic species

- ➢ Ex: Black leg complex
 - New species detected
 - Expanded range of habitats (*P versatile*)
 - Invasive behaviour (P parmentieri, D solani)
 - Antagonism and synergism
 - Consequences for control
 - Upgraded surveillance schemes and methods
 - > Ecological interactions can be used for biological control
 - Attention to be paid to as yet unidentified species in collections (*P punjabense*? Other groups yet to be named?)

> Altered host behaviour in the presence of mixed infections



G. pallida

P. infestans

Conclusion : Mixed infections = more resistant hosts

Next questions:

Is it always the case? What mechanisms explain this?

Andrivon et al., 2023 – Plant Pathology 72: 667-676



EAPR Pathology and Pests Section meeting – Arras, 4-6 September 2023

> Food regimes transitions

Changing diets

INRA@

Vegetarian/vegan/low meat on the rise



EAPR Pathology and Pests Section meeting – Arras, 4-6 September 2023



> Changing production modes

Organic on the rise worldwide



Number of organic farms (*1000)

Organic acreage (Mio ha)



Source: Agence Bio, 2020 – L'agriculture bio dans le monde





Consequences

Changes in expected production distribution



Fig. 8 The future of potato production adapted from Rosegrant et al. 2017

Plant protection methods to change

Some take-home messages

Potato health globally – decent, but fragile

- Convincing evidence that potato health is currently managed worldwide...
- > But:
 - at the expense of heavy and unsustainable use of pesticides (fungicides, insecticides, herbicides; nematicides)
 - > many different pathogen profiles according to ecoregions and cropping systems

Major challenges ahead

- Climate change
 - Impacts host and pest distribution
 - Impacts also pest severity and timing

Globalisation of trade

- Higher genetic uniformity
- Fast human mediated long distance dispersal of pathogen strains and species
- Pathogen diversity and complexes
 - Work to be done on ecology/interactions within pathogen complexes
- Transitions in food regimes and requests for food security
 - Will potato remain 'the famine shield' it has historically been?

New research directions – and opportunities

Time for ICHM rather than IPM?

INRAe

EAPR Pathology and Pests Section meeting – Arras, 4-6 September 2023

Meeting these challenges – where to go next in potato health research?

Get prepared - forecasting upcoming situations

- Sensors
- > Models
- Expert studies (such as the GPHA one)

Shifting from 'single disease management' to ' integrated potato health management'

- Ecology analyse interactions between pathogens/pests
- > Agronomy designing and assessing low pesticide potato cropping systems
 - Example : talks and posters by A Kröner and colleagues
- Social sciences:
 - take acceptability and risk management into consideration
 - Multi-actor assessment (growers , but also downstream actors requirements)





To the GPHA experts for the assessment of the current status of potato health

- > To the meeting organisers for inviting me to give this talk
- > To all of you for listening to it!







Influence of weather conditions and production methods on the first occurrence of *Phytophthora infestans* and *Alternaria sp.* in Austria

<u>Shala-Mayrhofer Vitore</u>¹, Muck-Arthaber Julia ², Pachtrog-Wilfinger Vera², Hubert Köppl³, Stefan Winter⁴, Kurt Foltin⁵

¹ Austrian Chamber of Agriculture, Department for Market Policy, Section Plant Production, Vienna, Austria

² Chamber of Agriculture Lower Austria,, Department for Plant Protection, St. Pölten, Austria

³ Chamber of Agriculture Upper Austria. Department for Plant Protection, Linz, Austria

⁴ Chamber of Agriculture Burgenland, Eisenstadt, Austria

⁵AGRO DS Österreich, Wulkaprodersdorf, Austria

Mit Unterstützung von Bund, Ländern und Europäischer Union

EAPR Pathology & Pests Section Meeting, 3rd to 6th September 2023 – Arras – France

Bundesministerium
 Land- und Forstwirtschaft,
 Regionen und Wasserwirtschaft





Phythopthora infestans and Alternaria sp. on potatoes

- This fungal disease *P. infestans* is one of the most important potato diseases worldwide
- The damage is caused by a reduction in the assimilation area, which massively restricts tuber growth
- Yield reductions of 30 % are not uncommon. Humidity of over 80% and temperatures above 20° C represent optimal conditions for the fungus
- Potato disease Alternaria sp. can be found in all potato growing countries
- Symptoms are caused by two different kinds of *Alternaria*: Infestation with *Alternaria* solani (early blight), angled to round spots (up to 2 cm) with concentric rings and with Alternaria alternata (leaf blight) numerous small spots (up to 0.5 cm) appear on the leaves
- Optimum temperature for spore formation is 20 ° C. Spore germination only occurs at high relative humidity or when a water film is present on the leaf surface



© V. Pachtrog-Wilfinger, LK NÖ

Damages caused by *P. infestans* and *Alternaria sp.* are also very high in Austria

nterstützung von Bund, Ländern und Europäischer Union

Bundesministerium Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Regionen und Wasserwirtschaft





EAPR Pathology & Pests Section Meeting, 3rd to 6th September 2023 - Arras - France

Potato acreage (ha) in Austria since 2018



Mit Unterstützung von Bund, Ländern und Europäischer Union

EAPR Pathology & Pests Section Meeting, 3rd to 6th September 2023 – Arras – France

Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Regionen und Wasserwirtschaft

💳 Bundesministerium

LE 14-20



LFY

Austrian alert service for plant protection / potatoes





Monitorings Field / Laboratory

Mehltau Gelbrost Braunrost Septoria notorum Septoria tritici Microdochium nivale (Schneeschimmel) Drechslere tritici-repentis (DTR) und der Schädlinge Halmbruch Septoria nodorum, S. tritici Viruskrankheiten: BYVD, CYDV, WDV, BDV

P. infestans

Alternaria sp. Drahtwürmer (ELATMON Projekt) Agriotes brevis Agriotes lineatus/proximus Agriotes obscurus Agriotes sordidus/rufipalpis Agriotes sputator Agriotes ustulatus

Gefleckter Kohltriebrüssler Rapsstängelrüssler Rapsglanzkäfer Kohlschotenrüssler Kohlschotenmücke Erdfloh Zuckerrübe (ZUCKMON Projekt) Schwarzee Bohnenblattläuse Grüne Pfirsichblattläuse Erdfloh Rüssler Cercopsora beticola Echter Mehltau Rost

Maiszünsler Maiswurzelbohrer Deoxynivalenol Zearalenon Fumonisine Aflatoxine Grüne Reiswanze Grüner Erbsenblattlaus Schwarze - Bohnenlaus Nanovirennachweis Baumwollkapselwurm Kohlfliege Knoblauchgallmilbe Apfelblütenstecher Apfelsägewespe Apfelwickler Kleiner Fruchtwickler Bräunlicher Obstbaumwickler Fruchtschalenwickler Pflaumensägewespe Pflaumenwickler Pfirsichwickler Kirschfruchtfliege Walnussfruchtfliege Falscher Mehltau Amerikanische Rebzikade Kirschessigfliege Traubenwickler Varro-Milbe

Prediction model

Acker Septoria tritici Septoria nodorum Braunrost Drechslera-tritici-repentis - DTR Gelbrost Zwergrost Echter Mehltau Netzflecken Ramularia Rhynchosporium Halmbruch P. infestans

Rapsstängelrüssler Kohltriebrüssler Rapsglanzkäfer Kohlschotenrüssler Kohlschotenmücke Rapserdfloh Obst (inkl. T-Sum) Apfel-, Pflaumen-, Pfirsich- und kleiner Fruchtwickler Birnblattsauger Mehlige Apfelblatt-, und Apfelgraslaus Obstbaumspinnmilbe Pfennigminiermotte Apfelsägewespe Apfelschorf Feuerbrand Obstbaumkrebs Apfelwickler Wein Falscher Mehltau Echter Mehltau Schwarzfäule Schwarzholz Phänologie Biene Varro-Milbe

Mit Unterstützung von Bund, Ländern und Europäischer Union

Bundesministerium Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Regionen und Wasserwirtschaft





EAPR Pathology & Pests Section Meeting, 3rd to 6th September 2023 – Arras – France

Monitoring of P. infestans and Alternaria sp. since 2017 Prediction model for P. infestans since 2016 (ISIP/ZEPP)

Monitoring of Agriotes sp. since 2019

Austrian alert service for plant protection / potatoes



Krankheiten in Kartoffeln Prognose Behandlungsbeginn Kraut- und Knollenfäule (Phytophtora infestans)

Getreide

Prognose Folgebehandlungen Kraut- und Knollenfäule (Phytophtora infestans)

Kraut- und Knollenfäule (Phytophthora infestans), Alternaria

Monitoring Drahtwürmer (Agriotes sp.) bei Kartoffeln und Mais

00000

Mit Unterstützung von Bund, Ländern und Europäischer Union

Bundesministerium -Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Regionen und Wasserwirtschaft





EAPR Pathology & Pests Section Meeting, 3rd to 6th September 2023 – Arras – France

Aim of monitoring

- To inform farmers on time about the occurrence and incidence of potatoe diseases (monitoring data is published online in a timely manner)
- Evaluation of factors / parameters influencing the occurrence and spread of diseases
- Providing information to optimize decisions on the type and timing of plant protection measures in integrated and organic production
- Reduction of pesticide use

- Standardized data for validation / development of forecast models (e.g. Alternaria sp.)
 - Forecasting would allow farmers and advisors to plan and take measures at the right time

Mit Unterstützung von Bund, Ländern und Europäischer Union

Bundesministerium Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Regionen und Wasserwirtschaft





EAPR Pathology & Pests Section Meeting, 3rd to 6th September 2023 – Arras – France

Methodology, field introduction

Phytophthora infestans



An untreated window (served as control) of at least 100 m² is checked once a week (beginning of June until middle / of August)

After the first occurrence of Phytophthora, the frequency of infestation is recorded separately for stems and leaves.

Indication of infestation frequency (IF) = proportion of infested plants

0 = No infestation1 = < 1 %2 = 1 - 10 %3 = 11 - 25 %5 = 26 - 50 %6 = 51 - 100 %

Alternaria sp.

An untreated window (served as control) of at least 100 m² is checked once a week

(beginning of June until middle / of August)

0 = No infestation.

- 1 = Isolated symptoms on some plants.
- 2 = Symptoms on the lowest leaves on the majority of plants
- 3 = Symptoms on the lowest leaves and isolated on the middle to upper leaves on the majority of plants © V. P
- 4 = Symptoms on a large part of the plants except for the upper leaves, haulm still largely green
- 5 = Symptoms on a large part of the plants except for the upper leaves, haulm already largely dead

© V. Pachtrog-Wilfinger, LK NÖ

Bundesministerium Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Regionen und Wasserwirtschaft

Mit Unterstützung von Bund, Ländern und Europäischer Union





EAPR Pathology & Pests Section Meeting, 3rd to 6th September 2023 - Arras - France

Methodology

- Field Monitoring: 2017-2022
- Total number of locations: up to 50 locations per each year
- Parameters that were noted during field assessments: Variety, previous crop, tillage, fertilizer, soil type, drying, date of cultivation, date of emergence.





Crop rotation / Intercropping:

Spelt, Peas, Barley, carrots, clover, grain, corn, corn, rapeseed, seed, corn, silage corn, soybean, summer durum, spring barley, summer oats, summer poppy, spring wheat, triticale, winter durum, winter oilseed rape, winter rye, winter triticale, winter wheat, sorghum, sugar beet, onion, buckwheat, cress, oil currant

- Not protected from wind
- Protected from wind
 - Total number of cultivars: 48 (2017-2022)

Mit Unterstützung von Bund, Ländern und Europäischer Union

Bundesministerium Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Regionen und Wasserwirtschaft





EAPR Pathology & Pests Section Meeting, 3rd to 6th September 2023 - Arras - France

Methodology





Descriptive list of cultivars

Sorte, Züchterland	Zulassungsjahr	Egnung ¹⁾	Kochtyp ²⁾	Wuchstyp der Pflanze ³⁾	Wuchsform der Pflanze ⁴⁾	Reifezeit	Blattrollvirus	Y-Virus	Dürfleckenkrankheit	Krautfäule	Knollenfäule	Kartoffelschorf	Esenfleckigkeit
Sehr früh bis früh rei	fende S	peisesort	en .										
Adora, NL	1995	S,F	vt	Z	ha	1	3	3	-	6	6	4	3
Agata, NL	1991	S	t	В	ha	2	4	3	6	6	5	6	2
Anuschka, D	2003	S	f	Z	а	2	2	4	5	6	4	4	-
Berber, NL	1985	S,C,F	vf	Z	ha	2	4	5	5	6	2	6	5
Donald, NL	2000	S,F,C	m	Z	ha	3	4	4	-	4	5	5	-
Erika, A	2007	S,Sa	f	Z	ha	2	3	1	-	5	4	5	-
Impala, NL	1992	S	vf	Z	ha-bw	3	4	4	-	5	5	4	2
Minerva, NL	1989	S,C	vf	Z	ha	2	4	1	5	7	5	6	3
Nöstling, A	2021	S	vf	Z	ha	3	3	3	5	5	4	3	-
Romina, A	1988	S,C,F	vf	Z	ha	3	3	5	6	8	6	5	2
Früh bis mittelfrüh re	ifende	Speise- u	nd Ver	arbei	tungssor	ten							
Alonso, A	2011	S	vf	z	а	5	3	2	3	4	5	3	-
Bettina, D	1995	S,C	vf	Z	а	5	6	1		5	3	3	3
Bosco, A	2012	S	m	5	a	5	8	2	4	4	3	3	-
Chiara, A	2019	5	vr.	4	na	5	4	1	4	1	3	4	2
Ditta, A	1988		Ţ	4	a	5	4	5	3	4	2	3	2
Evita, A Evenies D	1004	5,6,6		4	a ha	7	2	2	-	4	2	7	2
Exquisa, D Fontane NI	2001	SCE	m	ŝ	a-ha	2	5	ŝ	2	2	5	2	1
Galata, A	2012	5	vf	ŝ	2	5	7	5	4	5	4	4	2
Graziosa, A	2017	S. Sa	Ť	ž	ha-bw	4	4	1	3	5	3	3	-
Hermes, A	1972	C,S,St	m	z	ha	4	3	6	4	5	3	3	2
Marizza, A	2012	S	vf	s	а	4	7	1	4	5	5	4	-
Martina, A	2009	s	vf	Z	ha	4	4	2	4	5	4	4	-
Meireska, A	2015	S	vf	s	a	4	3	2	4	6	5	4	-
Naglerner Kipfler, A	1955	Sa,S	f	в	ha	5	5	8	-	7	8	3	3
Pepino, A	2018	S	vf	Z	ha	4	4	3	4	5	3	3	-
Roko, A	1997	S,C	vf	Z	ha	5	5	1	3	4	3	5	3
Sokrates, A	2014	F,S,C	m	z	a	5	4	5	3	5	4	5	-
Tosca, A	2001	S	vt	5	a-ha	5	3	5	4	5	5	4	2
Valdivia, A	2013	· ·	Т.	2	a-ha	4	3	1	4	5	3	د	-
Mittel bis spät reifen	de Spei	se- und V	erarbe	itung	ssorten	~		~		-	-	-	
Agna, D	1988	5,0,F	m	4	a-na	ĉ		5	4	2	2		-
Ascent, NL Pipets A	1991	5,5,6		4	na	8	2	-	-	2	-	2	2
Dieno, A	2011	ECS	m	-	3118	7	3	1	2	4	ŝ	4	-
Eabiola, A	2005	5	vf	7	ha	6	6	- î	- 2	5	5	4	2
Herbstoold, A	2019	s	vf	z	a	6	5	1	5	5	4	4	-
Longinus, A	2020	F,C,S	m	в	ha-bw	6	4	ī	4	5	5	5	2
Meichip, A	2021	C,F,S	m	z	ha	6	3	4	4	5	4	5	-
Siegfried, A	2019	C,F,S	m	в	а	6	5	1	4	5	3	5	-
Mittel bis spät reifen	de Stär	kesorten											
Bonza, D	2005	St	m	Z	bw	8	3	1	3	5	4	4	3
Jumbo, D	2004	St,C	m	в	ha	6	3	1	3	4	4	5	-
Kuras, NL	1995	St,C	sm	Z	ha	9	4	2	2	3	2	4	3
Sixtus, A	2019	St	sm	Z	ha	7	3	1	4	4	4	5	-
Skonto, D	2007	St	m	Z	bw	8	3	1	4	4	4	4	3
Tomensa, D	1994	St,F,C,T	sm	В	a	5	2	3	4	5	5	5	4
Trabant, A	2013	St	sm	Z	ha	7	6	1	4	4	4	3	-
xerxes, A	2014	st	sm	4	a ha	/	5	1	3	4	3	4	-

Eurostarch: Low to medium

60

EAPR Pathology & Pests Section Meeting, 3rd to 6th September 2023 - Arras - France

Mit Unterstützung von Bund, Ländern und Europäischer Union

Bundesministerium
 Land- und Forstwirtschaft,
 Regionen und Wasserwirtschaft

LE 14-20 Investing for der Underlage foren



Monitoring-Map 2023







© V. Pachtrog-Wilfinger, LK NÖ

Mit Unterstützung von Bund, Ländern und Europäischer Union

💳 Bundesministerium Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Regionen und Wasserwirtschaft



EAPR Pathology & Pests Section Meeting, 3rd to 6th September 2023 - Arras - France

Results

Disease incidence P. infestans and Alternaria sp. 2017-2022



Mit Unterstützung von Bund, Ländern und Europäischer Union

💳 Bundesministerium Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Regionen und Wasserwirtschaft



EAPR Pathology & Pests Section Meeting, 3rd to 6th September 2023 – Arras – France



Results and conclusions

Weather conditions



P. infestans

- Due to higher precipitation in Upper Austria higher infestation was observed than in Lower Austria
- In months of June and July (beginn of infection) due to heavy rainfall higher infestation of *P. infestans* was observed with regional often small scale differences

Alternaria sp.

- *A. solani* and *A. alternata* occured more frequent in the eastern parts of Austria in 2018 where a combination of irrigation and high temperature boosted the outbreak of *Alternaria species*
- Very often sunlight on water droplets burn the upperside of the potato leaves. By this saprophytes like *Alternaria sp.* may easily penetrate the cuticula and start the infection.
- In general during the monitoring period 2017 2022 Alternaria sp. was the prevailing potato disease in Austria

Mit Unterstützung von Bund, Ländern und Europäischer Union

Bundesministerium Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Regionen und Wasserwirtschaft





EAPR Pathology & Pests Section Meeting, 3rd to 6th September 2023 – Arras – France

• The spread and occurrence of the disease in the following year is influenced by the potatoes remain on the cultivated area after harvesting (potatoes which remain on the cultivated area do not freeze due to mild winters (climate change) and thus lead to higher infections in the following year (more inoculum present)

Production methods

 Varietal susceptibility: leaf type or stem type. Tillage, previous crop, location: waterlogged location, fertilization (farm fertilizer) higher infestations are still being analysed in detail

> Detailed statistics and publication of the data is planned and will follow soon

Mit Unterstützung von Bund, Ländern und Europäischer Union

Bundesministerium Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Regionen und Wasserwirtschaft





- Shala-Mayrhofer Vitore •
- Muck-Arthaber Julia •
- Pachtrog-Wilfinger Vera
- Hubert Köppl ٠
- Stefan Winter ٠
- Kurt Foltin ٠



Coordinator

Implementation monitoring, professional part



© V. Shala-Mayrhofer, LK Ö

Mit Unterstützung von Bund, Ländern und Europäischer Union

💳 Bundesministerium Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Regionen und Wasserwirtschaft





LFÌ

EAPR Pathology & Pests Section Meeting, 3rd to 6th September 2023 - Arras - France





Thank you for your attention!

DI Dr. Vitore Shala-Mayrhofer Alert service for plant protection I Projec leader Austrian Chamber of Agriculture Tel. +43 1 53441 8538 M. +43 676 83441 8538 v.shala-mayrhofer@lk-oe.at <u>www.warndienst.at</u>



© V. Shala-Mayrhofer, LK Ö

Mit Unterstützung von Bund, Ländern und Europäischer Union

Bundesministerium Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Regionen und Wasserwirtschaft





EAPR Pathology & Pests Section Meeting, 3rd to 6th September 2023 – Arras – France

aft, LE 14-20

Norway grants

DivGene **Diversity analyses of key genes involved in** interaction between potato and Phytophthora infestans

Paluchowska P¹, Yin Z¹, Lysøe E², Rossmann SL², Ludwiczewska M¹, Janiszewska M¹, Sobkowiak S¹, Eikemo H², Skogen M², Brurberg MB^{2,3}, <u>Śliwka J¹</u>

¹ Plant Breeding and Acclimatization Institute - National Research Institute (IHAR-PIB), Platanowa 19, Młochów, Poland ² Norwegian Institute of Bioeconomy Research (NIBIO), Ås, Norway ³ Norwegian University of Life Sciences (NMBU), Ås, Norway



NIBIO



Presentation overview

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Amplicon sequencing: a pilot study on Ry_{sto} gene
- 3. Amplicon sequencing of *Rpi* (Resistance to *Phytophthora*) *infestans*) genes
- 4. Amplicon sequencing of *P. infestans* effector genes
- Exploitation of results: gene diversity and expression versus 5. plant and pathogen phenotypes





Fighting late blight



America for new seed!" [C. R. Darwin to W. D. Fox, 1846]

Charles Darwin

- Tubers from Chile 1835
- 1876-1882 141 letters on potato disease
- Project with James Torbitt
- Growing potatoes from true seeds
- Selection of resistant individuals "I see since I wrote to you someone has urged the necessity of sending to S.

Key genes involved in host-pathogen interaction



Kamoun, S. (2021). NLR receptor networks: filling the gap between evolutionary and mechanistic studies. Zenodo

Hypersensitive reaction







PacBio Sequel II

https://www.pacb.com/productsprotocols/meet-the-new-sequel-iie-system/

- + Sequencing of long amplicons
- + HiFi reads with few mistakes
- Off-site (Norwegian) Sequencing center)
- Long wait times
- ca. 6x cost per read



Amplicon sequencing – pilot study on Ry_{sto} gene



*Ry*_{sto} from *Solanum* stoloniferum:

- Mapped on Chromosome XII
- Sequenced (TIR-NB-LRR)

Solanum stoloniferum donor of gene Ry_{sto}

https://ics.hutton.ac.uk/germinatecpc/#/home



298 genotypes representing 29 accessions of 26 tuber-bearing Solanum species, IHAR-PIB's collection

Confers extreme resistance to PVY

Song et al. 2005; Flis et al. 2005; Grech-Baran et al. 2020



Amplicon sequencing – pilot study on Ry_{sto} gene

Results:

- 1. ASVs from 4430-5966 bp
- 2. 55 unique Rysto-like sequences detected in 72/298 potato genotypes
- 3. From 1 to 8 sequences per genotype
- 4. From 1 to 13 sequences per species
- 5. 54 new sequences from a single PacBio sequencing reaction

Nucleotide diversity (Pi) of the *Ry*_{sto} homologues

(a) 25 variants obtained with U and V primers;

(b) 30 variants obtained with T primer (without the ATG start codon). Domains:

TIR; blue; LRR; Purple; NB-ARC; green; (C-JID; orange).





+D0277696884.J

XP 006367311

Rysto-like40

Rysto-like46

Rysto-like44

Rysto.IIte36

Rysto-like34

Jitter J

KAG5573837.1

(P 049377448.1

Rysto-like14 Rysto-like30

Rysto-like29 Brsto-like18 Brsto-like13 Brsto-like33 Usfor Brsto-like31

Aysto-like22

Rysto-like15

Rysto-like4

Rysto-like5

Rysto-like42 Rysto-like19 Rysto-like25 Rysto-like25

tysto-like54

Rysto-like43

O

to like to

Rysto-like51 Rysto-like50 Rysto-like50

More on Poster **P28 Zhimin Yin**

 \square

XP 016552470.2

Rysto-like24 Rysto-like Rysto-like Rysto-like 67

Amplicon sequencing – pilot study on Ry_{sto} gene

Phylogenetic tree of the predicted Rysto-like protein sequences Constructed using ClustalW and FastTree2. Red: 100% identity to the Rysto protein. Blue: 100% identity to each other within a branch. Green: other proteins from Solanaceae.

Plant material N = 335



List of target Rpi genes

#	Gene	Controls	Reference sequence
1	R1	R1, R5, R6, Bzura	AF447489
2	R2	R2, Bzura	FJ536346
3	R3a	R3, Allouete	AY849382
4	R3b	R3, Allouete	JF900492.1
5	R8	R8, Kelly, Sárpo Mira	KU530153
6	R9	R9	Armstrong et al. 2019
7	Rpi-phu1 (Rpi-vnt1)	Allouete, Gardena	FJ423044.1
8	Rpi-blb1	16-34/2, 16-34/5 (<i>S. stoloniferum</i>)	AY426259.1
9	Rpi-blb2	Toluca	DQ122125
10	Rpi-ber1	16-40/1 (S. berthaultii)	MW390806.1
11	Rpi-chc1.1	Carolus,	MW383255
	Rpi-chc1.2	16-40/1 (S. berthaultii)	MW410797

- 1. Barcoded PCR products (2500 bp ca. 4000 bp) amplified and sequenced for 7 genes, so far
- 2. From 2 to 14 ASVs were obtained per gene in 243 potato genotypes
- 3. From 1 to 5 ASVs were obtained per potato genotype
- The highest number of ASVs per gene was obtained for R3a (in total 14), while the lowest 4. number of ASVs was obtained for the R1 gene (2)

5. Highest numbers of different *Rpi* genes were detected in potato cultivars: Escort: R1, Rpi-abpt786, R3a, R3b, R3bG3111 Klepa: R1, R2-like, R3a-like, Rpp13-like, R3bG3111 Rudawa: *Rpi-abpt*₇₈₆, *R3a*, *R3a-like*, *R3b*, *R3b*_{G3111}



Number of sequence variants not previously detected in other studies



Amplicon Sequencing of *P. infestans* **genes** (NIBIO)

2-step PCR for high flexibility

First PCR

Short sequences for MiSeq ~ 350 bp PacBio full length where possible



Second PCR Adding indexes and adapters



Target genes and isolates



P. inf. isolates

- Ca. 400 isolates from Norway and Poland
- Some of Polish isolates belong to major clonal lineages
- Norwegian isolates largely originated from sexual populations
- Isolates from different countries





- Over 50 effector genes
- Well-studied and predicted putative effectors
- Multiple housekeeping genes



Results: AvrSmira1 variants in 13_A2 strains





ASV counts

- 300+
- 200
- 100

- Five AvrSmira1 ASVs from PacBio
- All five variants are identical to known alleles
- Three ASVs code for identical proteins
- Potential triploidy for some isolates

PexRD2 variants in 13_A2 strains



20	40	60	80	100	120
			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	•	•••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• <mark>N</mark> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	105
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •	•••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• <mark>N</mark> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	122
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• <u>N</u> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•• 111
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• <u>N</u> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
<mark></mark>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• <mark>N</mark> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	105
<mark></mark>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. <mark>N</mark>	105
<mark></mark>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• <mark>5</mark> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	105
<mark></mark>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. <u>N</u>	105
<mark></mark>				. <mark>N</mark>	105
	-			-	
MRLSYVIAVIAASFLVTTEALSTNTGVQAA	N <mark>XVGP</mark> AQRLLRKHYTAAEND	DDDSEARALNTEKMKTMLKA	GMTVDDYAAKLKLTDK <mark>X</mark> AAA	A <mark>X</mark> SARAMEKLGETLKMKKLL	RYLNYVAEHTAV* 122
	20 MRLSYVIAVIAASFLVTTEALSTNTGVQAA	20 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 4	20 40 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 6	20 40 60 80 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	20 40 60 80 100

- ASV counts
 Six PexRD2 ASVs from PacBio, one from Illumina
 Variant captured in PacBio and Illumina was identical to known allele over sequenced length
 Three ASVs from PacBio and one from Illumina code for identical proteins over sequenced length
 - AA-level variation in three positions





ASV19_PITG_16245_pacbio_F	ASV2_ARP2-3_pacbio_F	ASV20_PITG_17316_pacbio_F	ASV22_PITG_23074_pacbio_F	ASV23_Avr2_pacbio_F	ASV33_INF2A_pacbio_F	ASV4_PexRD2_pacbio_F	ASV5_PexRD24_pacbio_F	ASV6_EPI10_pacbio_F	ASV7_Pi22926_pacbio_F	ASV74_Scr74_B3b_pacbio_F	ASV9_PITG_23226_pacbio_F
			Ξ.	Ξ							
			-								
12 8 4	ñōō	10 20 30	longest	_aa_seq	5 0 1 1 0 5 1 1 0	1070	10 15	100	10 15 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	0 4 0	10 15 15
uence va uence va uence va uence va uence va uence va	ariant 11 ariant 12 ariant 13 ariant 14 ariant 18 ariant 19	Sequence Sequence Sequence	ze variant 2 ze variant 2 ze variant 2	21 Prev 23 26	alence	0.25	0.50	0.75	1.00		

Exploitation of results: gene diversity and expression versus plant and pathogen phenotypes

P. infestans aggressiveness test

- 5 leaflets × 3 cultivars × 19 isolates × 3 replications × 2 dates
- Measurement of latent period, lesion diameter, sporulation intensity

Virulence test

•3 leaflets × 23 cultivars × 12 isolates

Sequencing of effector genes

- 12 isolates x 2 Avr-vnt1 primer pairs were sequenced by Sanger method
- 4 isolates data from sequencing effector by Illumina sequencing **Statistics**
- ANOVA + Tukey's test (Statistica 13.0 software package)

Genotype	Number of isolates
EU13_A2	5
EU34_A1	4
EU37_A2	3
EU41_A1	7

Experiments on expression of plant and pathogen genes in progress



P. infestans aggressiveness

Diversity of Avr-vnt1 effector	
within genotypes	35 —
The EU37_A2 genotype of <i>P.</i> <i>infestans</i> was the most	30 —
aggressive	25 —
Isolates differed in virulence between and within SSR	20 —
genotypes	15 —
More isolates will be tested and data will be analysed in context of efector repertoire	10 — 5 —
discovered by Ampseq	0 ——
More on Poster P2 Mirella	
	Diversity of Avr-vnt1 effector within genotypes The EU37_A2 genotype of P. infestans was the most aggressive Isolates differed in virulence between and within SSR genotypes More isolates will be tested and data will be analysed in context of efector repertoire discovered by AmpSeq

цĿ



Results of aggressiveness test







Thank you for attention

The research leading to these results has received funding from the Norwegian Financial Mechanism 2014-2021, project DivGene: UMO-2019/34/H/NZ9/00559

Diversity analysis of *Rpi-ber1* and *Rpi-vnt1* genes determining broad-spectrum resistance to Phytophthora infestans

Paulina Paluchowska¹, Zhimin Yin¹, Erik Lysøe², Simeon Lim Rossmann², Mirella Ludwiczewska¹, Marta Janiszewska¹, May Bente Brurberg^{2,3}, Jadwiga Śliwka¹

¹Plant Breeding and Acclimatization Institute - National Research Institute (IHAR-PIB) in Radzikow, Młochów Divison, Poland

²Norwegian Institute of Bioeconomy Research (NIBIO), Ås, Norway

³Norwegian University of Life Sciences (NMBU), Ås, Norway

Phytophthora infestans is an oomycete that causes the infamous potato late blight disease. Costs associated with crop losses and chemical control of late blight are estimated to be more than € 9 billion per year. Wild *Solanum* species are valuable sources of genes conferring resistance to *P. infestans* (*Rpi*). To date more than 70 *Rpi* genes have been discovered in potato and wild *Solanum* species. Many *Rpi* genes have become ineffective due to the rapidly evolving pathogen, but genes such as Rpi-ber1 from Solanum berthaultii and Rpi-vnt1 (Rpi-phu1) from Solanum *venturii* and from still confer resistance to many *P. infestans* strains (Figure 1).

AIM: Diversity analysis of *Rpi-ber1* and *Rpi-vnt1* (*Rpi-phu1*) genes in potatoes using amplicon sequencing (AmpSeq) approach.



Figure 1 Solanum venturii (A) and Solanum berthaultii (B) https://ics.hutton.ac.uk/germinate-cpc/#/home

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

- 335 potato genotypes (183 potato cultivars grown in Poland and Norway, 98 breeding lines and 54 genotypes of wild potato species).
- Detection of *Rpi* genes fragments using 1-3 PCR primer pairs per gene.
- Amplification of entire coding regions of the *Rpi* genes and sequencing using the PacBio single-molecule real-time (SMRT) circular consensus sequencing (CCS) method.



Figure 3 Number of amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) detected in potato cultivars, wild potato species and breeding lines

RESULTS & CONCLUSIONS:

- Using PCR primers, the presence of *Rpi-ber1* and *Rpi-vnt1* genes was detected in 47 and 78 potato genotypes, respectively.
- So far, full length *Rpi-ber1* and *Rpi-phu1* genes, were sequenced from 17 and 40 potato genotypes, respectively.
- For the *Rpi-vnt1*, 10 amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) were detected, of which 8 were new variants not previously detected in other studies (including 6 pseudogenes; Figure 2).
- For the *Rpi-ber1*, 9 new ASVs were detected (including 2 pseudogenes; Figure 2).
- 9 ASVs in wild potato species and 8 ASVs in potato cultivars were detected for *Rpi-ber1* and *Rpi-vnt1* genes, respectively (Figure 3).
- We confirmed the presence of the *Rpi-vnt1.1* and *Rpi-vnt1.3* in 14 potato genotypes.
- In 7 potato genotypes, 2 variants of *Rpi-vnt1* showed approx. 88% protein identity to the Tm-2-like from *S. verrucosum* and approx. 86% identity to Rpi-vnt1 protein (Figure 4).
- *Rpi-ber1* variants showed >99% identity to Rpi-ber1.2 protein (ASV36) and >98% identity to Rpi-ber1.3 (ASV21, 29, 37 and 39) and Rpi-tub1.3 (ASV12 and 13) (Figure 4).
- *Rpi-vnt1-like* pseudogenes are widespread (6 variants detected in more than half of the tested genotypes).
- *Rpi-ber1-like* pseudogenes were detected in 11 potato genotypes.
- Rpi-ber1-like genes were found in S. punae, S. albicans, S. arrac-papa, S. sparsipilum and S. kurtzianum. These wild potato species may be new sources of resistance to P. infestans.
- The AmpSeq strategy proved to be reliable and efficient and will allow us to obtain data on the diversity of genes crucial for the potato defence against P. infestans.



REFERENCES:

Monino-Lopez D, et al. 2021. Allelic variants of the NLR protein *Rpi-chc1* differentially recognize members of the Phytophthora infestans PexRD12/31 effector superfamily through the leucine-rich repeat domain. The Plant Journal 107.1: 182-197.

Foster SJ, et al. 2009. *Rpi-vnt1.1*, a *Tm-2(2)* homolog from *Solanum venturii*, confers resistance to potato late blight. Mol Plant Microbe Interact. 22(5):589-600.

The research leading to these results has received funding from the Norwegian Financial Mechanism 2014-2021, project DivGene: UMO-2019/34/H/NZ9/00559

Aggressiveness test of *Phytophthora infestans* isolates with different effector alleles

Mirella Ludwiczewska¹, Paulina Paluchowska¹, Marta Janiszewska¹, Erik Lysøe², Simeon Lim Rossmann², Sylwester Sobkowiak¹, Zhimin Yin¹, May Bente Brurberg^{2, 3}, Jadwiga Śliwka¹

¹Plant Breeding and Acclimatization Institute – National Research Institute in Radzików, Młochów Division, Poland

²Norwegian Institute of Bioeconomy Research (NIBIO), Ås, Norway

³Norwegian University of Life Sciences (NMBU), Ås, Norway

m.ludwiczewska@ihar.edu.pl

Background: *Phytophthora infestans* (Mont) de Bary, is the causal agent of late blight and is one of the main constraints of potato and tomato production worldwide. *P. infestans* can infect leaves, stems, potato tubers, and tomato fruits. During infection the pathogen secretes effector proteins that suppress the defense system of the plant. Plants have evolved to recognize effectors, resulting in an evolutionary cycle of defense and counter-defense in plant–microbe interactions. The pathogen has a heterothallic mating system but reproduces primarily in a clonal manner where the clonal lineages have varying aggressiveness. The lineages are routinely defined by analysis of simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers.





Figure 1. Leaflets of potato cv. Craigs Royal inoculated with isolate of *P. infestans:* Six days post inoculation

Aim: Test aggressiveness of 19 *P. infestans* isolates representing four genotypes prevalent in Europe: EU13_A2, EU34_A1, EU37_A2 and EU41_A2.

Materials:

Susceptible potato cultivars: Craigs Royal, Irys, Tarpan
19 *P. infestans* isolates listed in Table 1

Methods:

Aggressiveness test

- 5 leaflets × 3 cultivars × 19 isolates (Table 1) × 3 replications × 2 dates
- Measurement of latent period, lesion diameter, sporulation intensity

Virulence test

•3 leaflets × 23 cultivars × 12 isolates (Table 2)

The *P. infestans* isolate was identified as virulent if symptoms (lesions, sporulation) were observed

Sequencing of effector gene

- 12 isolates x 2 Avr-vnt1 primer pairs were sequenced by Sanger method
- 4 isolates data from sequencing effector by Illumina sequencing

Statistics

ANOVA + Tukey's test (Statistica 13.0 software package)

Table 1. *P. infestans* isolates used for the tests and results of sequencing of effector gene.

laalata	Origin	Voore	Constura	Effector Au
Isolate	Urigin	rears	Genotype	Effector AV







				vnt1
MP1976	Przecław/Poland	2021	EU13_A2	V1/V3
MP1943	Węgrzce/Poland	2016	EU13_A2	V1/V3
MP1960	Sulejów/Poland	2017	EU13_A2	V1/V3
MP1932	Węgrzce/Poland	2020	EU13_A2	V1/V2/V3
MP1995	Węgrzce/Poland	2021	EU13_A2	V1*
MP1934	Karzniczka/Poland	2020	EU41_A2	V1/V2/V3
MP1931	Karzniczka/Poland	2020	EU41_A2	V1/V2/V3
MP1935	Węgrzce/Poland	2020	EU41_A2	V1/V2/V3
MP1936	Węgrzce/Poland	2020	EU41_A2	V1/V2/V3
MP1933	Karzniczka/Poland	2020	EU41_A2	V1/V2/V3
MP2019	Zybiszów/ Poland	2020	EU41_A2	No data
MP1956	Węgrzce/Poland	2020	EU41_A2	No data
MP1942	Przecław/Poland	2020	EU37_A2	V1/V2
MP1940	Boguchwała/Poland	2020	EU37_A2	V1/V2
MP1938	Przecław/Poland	2020	EU37_A2	V1/V2
MP 940	Proszowice/Poland	2008	EU34_A1	V1*
MP 849	Boguchwała/Poland	2007	EU34_A1	No data
MP 938	Czaple Małe/Poland	2008	EU34_A1	V2*
MP 2076	Węgrzce/Poland	2018	EU34_A1	V1*

* data from Illumina sequencing

 Table 2. Results of virulence test.
 A-avirulent isolate, V-virulent isolate. Genotypes colour-coded as in Table 1.

			Different cultivars							
Isolate	Black's differential set	Bzura (homolog ue R2)	Sarpo Mira (Rpi- Smira1, Rpi- Smira2, R3a, R3b, R4+QTL)	Biogold (Rpi-abpt)	99-12/8 (Rpi- mch1)	99-10/36 (Rpi-rzc1)	04-IX-21 (Rpi- phu1)	Kelly	Alouette (Rpi- vnt1.3; R3a; R3b)	Gardena (Rpi- phu1)
MP1976	1.2.3.4.5.6.7.8.10.11	V	V	V	V	Α	А	V	V	V
MP1934	1.2.3.4.5.6.7.8.11	V	V	V	V	Α	Α	V	Α	V
MP1960	1.2.3.4.5.6.7.8.9.10.11	V	V	V	V	V	Α	V	V	V
MP1932	1.2.3.4.5.6.7.8.10.11	V	Α	V	V	Α	Α	Α	V	V
MP1995	1.4.6.7.10.11	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α
MP1934	1.2.3.4.5.6.7.8.11	V	V	V	V	Α	Α	V	Α	V
MP1931	1.2.3.4.5.6.7.8.10.11	V	Α	V	Α	Α	Α	V	V	Α
MP1935	1.2.3.4.5.6.7.8.10.11	V	V	V	V	V	Α	V	Α	Α
MP1936	1.2.3.4.5.6.7.8.10.11	V	Α	V	V	Α	Α	V	V	Α
MP1933	1.2.3.4.5.6.7.8.10.11	V	V	V	Α	Α	Α	V	V	Α
MP2019	1.2.3.4.5.6.7.8.10.11	V	Α	V	V	Α	Α	V	Α	V
MP1956	1.2.3.46.7.8.10.11	V	Α	Α	V	Α	Α	V	Α	V
MP1942	1.2.3.4.5.6.7.8.10.11	V	V	V	Α	Α	Α	V	Α	Α
MP1940	1.2.3.4.5.6.7.8.10.11	V	Α	V	Α	Α	Α	V	Α	Α
MP1938	1.2.3.4.5.6.7.10.11	V	Α	V	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α
MP940	1.3.4.6.7.11	Α	Α	Α	V	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α
MP849	1.2.3.4.5.6.7.10.11	V	Α	V	V	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α
MP938	1.3.4.6.7.8.10.11	V	V	V	V	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α
MP2076	1.4.6.7.10.11	V	Α	Α	V	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α

Figure 2. Aggressiveness of four *P. infestans* genotypes (EU_13A2, EU34_A1, EU37_A2 and EU41_A2) based on lesion diameter, latent period and number of sporangia produced by isolates from each genotype. Vertical bars represent 0.95 confidence intervals. The letters indicate statistically different groups, created based on ANOVA + Tukey's test.
a) Latent period (days) (Effect: F(3.163)=172.24, p=0.000)
b) Number of sporangia/µl (Effect: F (3.953)=92.663, p=0.000)
c) Lesion diameter (mm)-5 day (Effect: F (3.172)=66.498, p= 0.000)

Results:

Aggressiveness (Figure 2)

 Isolates of EU34_A1 produced smallest amount of spores, had the longest latent period and caused smallest lesions.

 Isolates of EU37_A2 produced biggest spores, had the shortest latent period and caused biggest lesions.

There were no statistically significant differences in the latent period between isolates of EU13_A2 and isolates of EU41_A2 genotypes.
Results of *Avr-vnt1* sequencing are shown in Table 1. Diversity of *Avr-vnt1* effector was noted within EU13_A2 and EU34_A1 genotypes.
Isolates differed in virulence between and within SSR genotypes.

Conclusion and future plans:

• The EU37_A2 genotype of *P. infestans* was the most aggressive.

 Based on ongoing sequencing of multiple effector genes from a large number of *P. infestans* isolates from Poland and Norway, we will analyse how differences in effector repertoire affect aggressiveness.

• More isolates will be tasted for aggressiveness to ensure better representation of tested *P. infestans* genotypes.

The research leading to these results has received funding from the Norwegian Financial Mechanism 2014-2021, project DivGene: UMO- 2019/34/H/NZ9/00559

Norway grants



The *R2* gene is still efficient in bringing resistance to some *P. infestans* strains

Sylvie Marhadour^{1,2}, Méar, A.^{1,2}, Prodhomme, C.^{1,3}, Gonzalez, F.^{1,2}, Dantec, M.-A.², Pellé, R.², Souchet, C.², Barbary, A.⁴, Mabire, C.⁵, Viguié, C.⁶, Chauvin, J.-E.², Le Hingrat, Y.¹

Key messages

Using different types of phenotyping and plant materials, we showed that the presence of *R2* gene was associated with the reduction of rAUDPC, a significant decrease of the sporulation and a significant decrease of the lesion extension rate. Although *R2* has been defeated years ago (Pilet et al 2005), its interest in resistance breeding is shown. We think the combination of defeated and undefeated R genes in new varieties is an interesting option to increase the durability

of resistance. Breeding using molecular markers is the only option to achieve this goal.

Results in field experiments

B2 mapping family (see M&M part) was evaluated under field conditions between 2004 and 2007 (Fig 1). A high level of resistance to late blight was shown, however a strong year effect was also detected. We assumed a complex pattern of resistance factors (Marhadour et al 2013).

R2 explained a significant part of the resistance observed during this first period of phenotyping (Table 1).

More recently (2017 to 2019), a subset of the population was reevaluated under field conditions to check the stability of the resistance factors characterized earlier. The interest of *R2* was confirmed with these new data as *R2* was associated with a significant reduction of

Marker	rAUDPC	rAUDPC	rAUDPC	rAUDPC
	2004	2005	2006	2007
<i>R2</i>	38,1%	11,7%	27,5%	43,7%
SNP marker	8,5E-17	5,0E-09	1,4E-20	9,2E-36

Table 1: R^2 and p-value of the variance analysis performed using the SNP marker for R^2 and the rAUDPC (relative area under the disease progress curve) measured each year between 2004 and 2005 under field conditions on the whole B2 population n=275 (see Marhadour et al 2013 for details about the phenotyping).



Fig 2 rAUDPC (relative area under the disease progress curve) values

Material and Methods

Plant material: subsets of a 4x mapping population named B2 originating from a controlled cross INRA89T123. 3 x BERBER (Marhadour et al 2013) multiplied by Comité Nord/Sipre then maintained in BRC BrACysol and multiplied by UMR Igepp.

Phenotyping tests: 1) field tests in natural conditions of contaminations (oceanic climatic conditions, (Marhadour et al 2013)), 2) stem tests in greenhouse, adapted from Danan et al (2009) 3) detached leaf assays, adapted from Euroblight protocol.

Genotyping : presence of *R2* gene evaluated using the SNP marker developped by Meade et al 2020.

Phytophthora infestans strains: 20P50.25 (39A1) provided by R. Mabon, R. Corbière and M. Guibert (UMR Igepp) and FR20.104 (37A2) provided by P. Dewaegeneire (inov3PT)

Results in stem test

Stem test allows to control the strains of *P. infestans,* to precisely evaluate stem necrosis (Fig 3 & 4) on a relatively long period (4 weeks) using whole plants (as compared to DLA).

rAUDPC (Fig 2).



obtained on a subset of 15 genotypes of the B2 mapping family depending on the period of phenotyping (2005-2007 vs 2017-2019) and on the presence (1)/absence (0) of *R2*. Each genotype was repeated 3 times during the first period and twice during the second one, all the data are figured.

Fig 1: Fields experiments were performed under natural conditions of contaminations. One row of infector was planted every two rows of experimental genotypes. Disease progression was evaluated weekly using a visual scale of foliage destruction.

Results in detached leaf assay (DLA)

As compared to field tests, DLA offers the possibility to control and calibrate the inoculum. DLA are performed on a short period (max 7 days, Fig 2) on survival organs.

Presence of the *R2* gene was associated with a reduction of the lesion extension rate evaluated using a visual necrosis scale and a reduction of the observed sporulation (Tables 2&3).



Fig 2: 17 genotypes of the B2 mapping population were

Presence of the *R2* gene is associated with a significant reduction of the stem necrosis (Fig 4). The necrosis progression rate was reduced by 3,9 mm/day during the whole period (p< 0,0001) using both strains.

Results are in line with those obtained in a panel of 288 breeding lines and varieties using 2 other strains of *P. infestans* (Marhadour et al 2022).



Fig 3: Stem test allows to measure lenght necrosis after inoculation at the apex (left). In the centre, resistance is shown by a short necrosis (30 dpi) whereas on the right, susceptibility is expressed (30 dpi).



the scale necrosis depending on presence of the *R2* gene. 3 series of tests and the 2 strains were grouped representing 30 genotypes (χ^2 =69,963; ddl=3; p-value=4,3.10⁻¹⁵, classes 0 and 1 were grouped for the chi2 inoculated using 2 strains of *P. infestans*. 4 leaflets were inoculated per genotypes and strains. The experiments were repeated twice consecutively. Scoring was performed 5 and 7 dpi using a visual necrosis scale and a binocular loupe for sporulation.

Table 3: #leaflets observed depending on the observation of sporulation and the presence of the *R2* gene. 3 series of tests and the 2 strains were grouped representing 30 genotypes ($\chi^2=224,77$; ddl=1; p-value=2,2.10⁻¹⁶).

	No sporulation	Sporulation
	observed	observed
R2 absent	18	230
R2 present	157	45

References

Danan et al (2009) TAG 119, pp.705 – 719; Marhadour et al (2013). Potato research 56 (2), pp.99 - 114; Marhadour, S., <u>Prodhomme C.</u> et al (2022) EAPR 2020 Krakow; Meade et al (2020) Potato Research 63, pp. 57 – 73; Pilet et al (2005) Plant Pathology 54, pp. 72 3- 432.

Addresses

¹ inov3PT, 75008 Paris, France, ² IGEPP, INRAE, Institut Agro,Univ Rennes, 29260 Ploudaniel, France, ³ IGEPP, INRAE, Institut Agro,Univ Rennes, 35653 Le Rheu, France, ⁴ Bretagne Plants Innovation, 29460 Hanvec, France, ⁵ SIPRE, 76110 Bretteville du Grand Caux, France, ⁶ GROCEP, 87370 Laurière, France



Fig 4: AUNPC (Area under the necrosis progress curve) obtained using 2 strains of *P. infestans* on 15 genotypes of the B2 mapping population depending of the presence (1) /absence (0) of the *R2* gene. Plant genotypes were repeated 4 times for each strain.



RECHERCHE - DEVELOPPEMENT - INNOVATION DES PRODUCTEURS DE PLANTS DE POMME DE TERRE



Susceptibility to potato late blight (*Phytophthora infestans*) of *Solanum tuberosum* Chilotanum group, on detach leaf assay and field conditions

<u>Acuña, I.,</u> Bermúdez, A., Mancilla, S., Sandoval, C., Muñoz, M. y Uribe, M. Institute of Agricultural Research, INIA Chile. E-mail: iacuna@inia.cl

INTRODUCTION

Potato late blight is the most important disease of potato crop, causing production losses when environmental conditions are favorable. Varietal resistance is an important factor in the integrated management of this disease. The native potato of Chile are *Solanum tuberosum Chilotanum group*, it is of wide diversity and relevant as a genetic heritage [1].



OBJECTIVE

The objective of this work was to evaluate the susceptibility of 10 native potato varieties used by farmers in the Chiloe archipelago, in Chile.

METHODOLOGY

Experimental plots were established with these varieties under field conditions in 2019 to 2021 in Chiloe and Osorno, Los Lagos Region, in a randomized complete block design with 4 repetitions (Photo 1). The percentage of foliage damaged by late blight was determined and the AUDPC and rAUDPC were calculated. Additionally, native varieties were grown in greenhouse pots, leaf discs were cut from plant leaflets, placed in Petri dishes, inoculated with 10 ul of a concentration of $2x10^6$ zoopores/ml of a local isolation of *P. infestans* genotype EU-2A1 and incubated at 16 °C [2]. The necrosis and sporulation on each disc was evaluated between 24 hrs and 90 hrs post inoculation, every 6 hrs (Photo 2).



Photo 1: Aerial view of the varietal resistance evaluation plots, 2020-21 season. The difference in foliage damage is observed in the rows with susceptible plants (brown foliage) versus the most resistant to late blight (green foliage).



Photo 2. In vitro evaluation test for susceptibility to late blight on leaf discs. Varieties with a greater amount of sporulation and necrosis on the discs are more susceptible.

RESULTS

The results of damage under field conditions show significant differences between them, with RAUDPC of 0.13 and 0.65. The most susceptible variety was Cabrita and the most resistant was Murta (Figure 1 and Table 1). In addition, the development of necrosis and sporulation on the leaf discs shows that Cabrita developed necrosis at 42 hours and sporulation at 54 hrs., with 80% sporulation at 90 hrs, while Murta begins with necrosis at 42 hrs and sporulation at 66 hrs with 21.6% at 90 hrs (Figure 2).

FONTAGRO

Variety	RAUDPC	Susceptibility index*
Viscocha MIP	0,45 bc	7,6
Bruja MIP	0,45 bc	7,6
Bruja	0,43 c	7,3
Cabra	0,38 c	6,5
Murta	0,13e	2,2
Cabrita	0,57a	9,0
Murta ojuda	0,53 ab	8,9
Cabrita de Achao	0,30 d	5,1
Michuñe negra	0,20 d	3,4
Viscocha	0,43 c	7,3
Variation coef.	11,93	*Index between 1 to 9.
F value	21,57	where 1 is very resistant
Probability (P <u><</u> 0.05)	<0,0001	and 9 is very susceptible





Figure 1. Late blight damage on foliage of potato plants of different native varieties under Chiloe Island conditions, Chile. 2019-20 season.



Figure 2. Severity and incidence of necrosis and sporulation in native potato leaflets inoculated with *Phytophthora infestans* under in vitro conditions.

Comments

- There was a high correlation between field experiment and in vitro assay.
- The native varieties show high susceptibility to late blight, under both methods, being Murta the most resistant.
- The phytopathological characterization of these varieties allows us to know the genetic potential they present and their diversity.

References

[1] Solano, J., Mathias, M., Esnault, F., and Brabant, P. 2013. Genetic diversity among native varieties and commercial cultivars of *Solanum tuberosum ssp. tuberosum* L. present in Chile. Electronic Journal of Biotechnology, 16(6), 8-8. http://www.ejbiotechnology.info/index.php/ejbiotechnology/article/view/1474

[2] Solano, J., Acuña, I., Chauvin, J.E., and Brabant, P. 2016. In-Vitro Evaluation of Resistance to Late Blight (*Phytophthora infestans* Mont. De Bary.) in *Solanum* Accessions Native to Chile, by Inoculation of Detached Leaflets. American Journal of Plant Sciences Vol.7 No.3,581-589.

Funding by FONTAGRO project ATN/RF16678-RG.